

Concept: Designing Simple and Effective Marking Schemes: Principles and Practices

Brief summary of concept:

Marking schemes are essential tools in the assessment process, providing a structured method for evaluating student work and ensuring consistency and fairness in grading. A well-designed marking scheme not only clarifies expectations for students but also facilitates easier and more effective marking for educators. Here the principles and best practices for creating simple yet effective marking schemes that align with the EAT Framework are outlined.

Brief summary of approach:

The effectiveness of a marking scheme is fundamentally tied to its ability to transparently and accurately measure student performance against predefined criteria. The EAT Framework emphasises the need for assessments to be clear, aligned with learning objectives, and reliably executed, all of which are facilitated by a well-constructed marking scheme (Evans, 2016).

Key Principles for Designing Marking Schemes

1. Clarity and Simplicity

A marking scheme should be straightforward and easy to understand, both for students and for markers. This involves using clear language and avoiding overly complex categorisations that can lead to confusion and subjective interpretations. Each criterion should be precisely defined, detailing what is expected for various levels of performance (Sadler, 2009).

2. Alignment with Learning Objectives

Each element of the marking scheme should directly correspond to the specific learning objectives of the course. This alignment ensures that the assessment accurately measures what students are expected to learn, reinforcing the educational goals of the curriculum (Biggs & Tang, 2011).

3. Differentiation

The scheme should effectively distinguish between different levels of achievement. Criteria should be set up to reward higher-order thinking and problem-solving skills, rather than rote memorisation or straightforward task completion. This can be achieved by incorporating a range of marks for each criterion, reflecting varying degrees of understanding or skill (Bloxham & Boyd, 2007).

4. Consistency

To ensure fairness and reliability, marking schemes should facilitate consistent grading across different markers and over time. This often requires that schemes include detailed descriptors of what constitutes satisfactory, good, and excellent work, providing benchmarks that help standardise grading (O'Donovan et al., 2008).

5. Feedback Capability

Beyond simply assigning a grade, effective marking schemes should aid in providing constructive feedback. They should highlight areas of strength and suggest improvements, which can be instrumental in guiding further learning. Including explicit feedback guidelines in the marking scheme can enhance this aspect (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

Best Practices in Developing Marking Schemes

1. Collaborative Development

Developing marking schemes should be a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders, including faculty members and possibly students. This collaboration can ensure that the scheme addresses diverse perspectives and enhances its acceptability and effectiveness.

2. Pilot Testing

Before full implementation, it is advisable to pilot the marking scheme with a small sample of student work to identify potential issues and to ensure that it operates as intended. Feedback from this process can be used to refine the scheme (Taras, 2005).

3. Regular Review and Revision

Marking schemes should not be static; they need to evolve based on feedback from users (academics and students) and changes in curriculum or learning objectives. Regular reviews can keep the scheme relevant and effective.

4. Training for Markers

To maximize the consistency provided by a marking scheme, markers should be thoroughly trained in its use. This training should cover not only the technical aspects of the scheme but also the pedagogical rationale behind it (Race, 2007).

References

- Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for Quality Learning at University*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Bloxham, S., & Boyd, P. (2007). *Developing Effective Assessment in Higher Education: A Practical Guide*. Open University Press.
- Evans, C. (2016). Enhancing assessment feedback practice in higher education: The EAT Framework.
- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- O'Donovan, B., Price, M., & Rust, C. (2008). Developing student understanding of assessment standards: a nested hierarchy of approaches. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 13(2), 205–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510801923344>
- Race, P. (2007). *The Lecturer's Toolkit: A Practical Guide to Assessment, Learning and Teaching*. Routledge.
- Sadler, D. R. (2009). Indeterminacy in the use of preset criteria for assessment and grading. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(2), 159–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930801956059>

Taras, M. (2005). Assessment – Summative and Formative – some theoretical reflections. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 53(4), 466–478.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8527.2005.00307.x>



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission (Grant Number: 2020-1-UK01-KA203-079045). This Web site reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.