

Concept: Assessing Large Groups: Applying the EAT Framework for Effective Educational Outcomes

Brief summary of concept:

The assessment of large student groups poses significant challenges in maintaining fairness, consistency, and timely feedback. The EAT Framework (Evans, 2016) offers valuable guidelines for addressing these issues effectively. This paper explores methods for applying the EAT Framework to large group assessments, focusing on enhancing educational quality and assessment efficiency.

Brief summary of approach:

Strategies for Assessing Large Groups within the EAT Framework

1. Standardisation of Assessment Procedures

For large groups, standardising assessment procedures ensures consistency and fairness. Utilising multiple-choice questions and structured response formats can facilitate easier marking and reduce subjective bias (Wiggins, 1998). The EAT Framework supports this through its emphasis on clear, objective testing criteria.

2. Incorporating Technology in Assessment

Technological tools such as online quizzes, automated grading software, and Learning Management Systems (LMS) can streamline the administration of large-group assessments. Technology-enhanced assessments align with the EAT Framework's guidelines for efficient and scalable feedback mechanisms (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

3. Utilising Multiple Assessors and Moderation Techniques

To improve reliability in subjective assessments, using multiple assessors and implementing moderation processes are effective. The EAT Framework suggests moderation as a means to ensure that assessment outcomes are consistent across different markers (Sadler, 2005).

4. Employing Formative Assessment Approaches

Formative assessments, such as peer reviews and reflective journals, encourage continuous feedback and self-regulated learning, crucial in large groups (Black & Wiliam, 1998). These methods align with the EAT Framework as they foster an ongoing dialogue about learning progress and outcomes.

5. Feedback Strategies

Providing feedback in large classes can be challenging. Structured feedback methods, including rubrics and audio feedback, help in delivering clear, actionable insights. The EAT Framework promotes using detailed feedback formats that clarify expectations and support student learning (Gibbs & Simpson, 2004).

Addressing Challenges with the EAT Framework

- Ensuring consistency across assessments: training assessors on uniform standards and using calibrated marking guides can help mitigate variance in judgments, a principle supported by the EAT Framework (Evans, 2016).
- Balancing workload with quality feedback: automating routine assessments and focusing on qualitative feedback for more complex assignments can manage instructor workload effectively while enhancing student learning (Race, 2007).
- Engaging students in large settings: implementing interactive assessment tasks and group-based projects can increase engagement and motivation among large cohorts, strategies that are in line with the EAT Framework's focus on active learning (Kuh, 2008).

Conclusion

Effectively assessing large student groups requires a comprehensive strategy that aligns with robust educational principles such as those outlined in the EAT Framework. By standardising assessment practices, leveraging technology, using multiple assessors, incorporating formative assessments, and employing structured feedback mechanisms, educators can enhance both the fairness and efficacy of large group assessments.

References

- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and Classroom Learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>
- Evans, C. (2016). Enhancing assessment feedback practice in higher education: The EAT Framework.
- Gibbs, G., & Simpson, C. (2004). Conditions under which assessment supports students' learning. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education*, 1, 3-31.
- Kuh, G. D. (2008). *High-Impact Educational Practices: What They Are, Who Has Access to Them, and Why They Matter*. Association of American Colleges and Universities.
- Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- Race, P. (2007). *The Lecturer's Toolkit: A Practical Guide to Assessment, Learning and Teaching*. London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429060205>
- Sadler *, D. R. (2005). Interpretations of criteria-based assessment and grading in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(2), 175–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0260293042000264262>
- Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative Assessment. Designing Assessments to Inform and Improve Student Performance*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission (Grant Number: 2020-1-UK01-KA203-079045). This Web site reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.